Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims replaces all prior versions and listings of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

Claims 1-54 (Canceled).

55. A computer-implemented method for identifying compounds in text, comprising:

extracting a vocabulary of tokens from text;

iterating from $n > 2$ down to $n = 2$ where n decreases by one each iteration and in each iteration performing the actions of:

identifying a plurality of unique n-grams in the text, each n-gram being an occurrence in the text of n sequential tokens, each token being found in the vocabulary;

dividing each n-gram into n-1 pairs of two adjacent segments, where each segment consists of at least one token;

for each n-gram, calculating a likelihood of collocation for each pair of segments of the n-gram and determining a score for the n-gram based on a lowest calculated likelihood of collocation;

identifying a set of n-grams having scores above a threshold; and

adding the identified set of n-grams as compound tokens to the vocabulary and removing constituent tokens that occur in the added compound tokens from the vocabulary.

56. The method of claim 55 where calculating a likelihood of collocation for each pair of segments of the n-gram comprises determining a likelihood ratio λ for

each pair of segments that is computed in accordance with the formula:

$$\lambda = \frac{L(H_i)}{L(H_c)}$$

where $L(H_i)$ is a likelihood of observing H_i under an independence hypothesis, $L(H_c)$ is a likelihood of observing H_c under a collocation hypothesis, and H is a pair of segments.

57. The method of claim 56 where the $L(H_c)$ is computed for each pair of segments, t_1, t_2, in each n-gram in accordance with the formula:

$$\arg\max_{L(H_i)} \frac{L(t_1, t_2 \, form \; compound)}{L(n-gram \; does \; not \; form \; compound)}.$$

58. The method of claim 56 where, for each pair of segments, t_1, t_2, in each n-gram, the independence hypothesis comprises $P(t_2 \mid t_1) = P(t_2 \mid \overline{t_1})$ and the collocation hypothesis comprises $P(t_2 \mid t_1) > P(t_2 \mid \overline{t_1})$.

59. The method of claim 55 where identifying a plurality of unique n-grams in the text comprises skipping n-grams appearing in a list of known compounds.

60. A computer program product, encoded on a computer-readable medium, operable to cause data processing apparatus to perform operations comprising:

extracting a vocabulary of tokens from text;

iterating from $n > 2$ down to $n = 2$ where n decreases by one each iteration and in each iteration performing the actions of:

identifying a plurality of unique n-grams in the text, each n-gram being an occurrence in the text of n sequential tokens, each token being found in the vocabulary;

dividing each n-gram into n-1 pairs of two adjacent segments, where each segment consists of at least one token;

for each n-gram, calculating a likelihood of collocation for each pair of segments of the n-gram and determining a score for the n-gram based on a lowest calculated likelihood of collocation;

identifying a set of n-grams having scores above a threshold; and

adding the identified set of n-grams as compound tokens to the vocabulary and removing constituent tokens that occur in the added compound tokens from the vocabulary.

61. The program product of claim 60 where calculating a likelihood of collocation for each pair of segments of the n-gram comprises determining a likelihood ratio λ for each pair of segments that is computed in accordance with the formula:

$$\lambda = \frac{L(H_i)}{L(H_c)}$$

where $L(H_i)$ is a likelihood of observing H_i under an independence hypothesis, $L(H_c)$ is a likelihood of observing H_c under a collocation hypothesis, and H is a pair of segments.

62. The program product of claim 61 where the $L(H_c)$ is computed for each pair of segments, t_1, t_2, in each n-gram in accordance with the formula:

$$\arg\max_{L(H_i)} \frac{L(t_1, t_2 \, form \; compound)}{L(n-gram \; does \; not \; form \; compound)}.$$

63. The program product of claim 61 where, for each pair of segments, t_1, t_2, in each n-gram, the independence hypothesis comprises $P(t_2 \mid t_1) = P(t_2 \mid \overline{t_1})$ and the collocation hypothesis comprises $P(t_2 \mid t_1) > P(t_2 \mid \overline{t_1})$.

64. The program product of claim 60 where identifying a plurality of unique *n*-grams in the text comprises skipping *n*-grams appearing in a list of known compounds.

65. A system comprising:

a computer readable medium including a program product; and

one or more processors configured to execute the program product and perform operations comprising:

extracting a vocabulary of tokens from text;

iterating from $n > 2$ down to $n = 2$ where n decreases by one each iteration and in each iteration performing the actions of:

identifying a plurality of unique *n*-grams in the text, each *n*-gram being an occurrence in the text of n sequential tokens, each token being found in the vocabulary;

dividing each *n*-gram into n-1 pairs of two adjacent segments, where each segment consists of at least one token;

for each *n*-gram, calculating a likelihood of collocation for each pair of segments of the *n*-gram and determining a score for the *n*-gram based on a lowest calculated likelihood of collocation;

identifying a set of *n*-grams having scores above a threshold; and

adding the identified set of *n*-grams as compound tokens to the vocabulary and removing constituent tokens that occur in the added compound tokens from the vocabulary.

66. The system of claim 65 where calculating a likelihood of collocation for each pair of segments of the n-gram comprises determining a likelihood ratio λ for each pair of segments that is computed in accordance with the formula:

$$\lambda = \frac{L(H_i)}{L(H_c)}$$

where $L(H_i)$ is a likelihood of observing H_i under an independence hypothesis,

$L(H_c)$ is a likelihood of observing H_c under a collocation hypothesis, and H is a pair of segments.

67. The system of claim 66 where the $L(H_c)$ is computed for each pair of segments, t_1, t_2, in each n-gram in accordance with the formula:

$$\arg\max_{L(H_i)} \frac{L(t_1, t_2 \, form \; compound)}{L(n-gram \; does \; not \; form \; compound)}.$$

68. The system of claim 66 where, for each pair of segments, t_1, t_2, in each n-gram, the independence hypothesis comprises $P(t_2 \mid t_1) = P(t_2 \mid \overline{t_1})$ and the collocation hypothesis comprises $P(t_2 \mid t_1) > P(t_2 \mid \overline{t_1})$.

69. The system of claim 65 where identifying a plurality of unique n-grams in the text comprises skipping n-grams appearing in a list of known compounds.